Мар	Case Title	Ward	DMMO or PPO*	Proposal	Applicant	Receipt date	Notes
	Aldermaston 15 Public Footpath	Aldermaston	PPO	Diversion/extinguishment	none	n.a.	Path I Hamp
1	Beenham 15	Aldermaston	PPO	Diversion	Owner	01/05/2013	legal Existin from f
2	Boxford 15 Footpath	Hungerford & Kintbury	PPO (?) or DMMO 55(5)	Diversion around obstructive barns, or possible DMMO based on 1976 Draft Review Definitive Map	none	n.a.	oppos Histor Defini
	Boxford 17, Public Footpath+C17 C17	Hungerford & Kintbury	PPO	Diversion	WBC	n.a.	Lando has n
	Brimpton 4 and Woolhampton 16, Public Footpaths	Aldermaston	PPO	Diversion/Enforcement	WBC	n.a.	The p respo
6	Brimpton 21, Public Footpath	Aldermaston	PPO	Diversion	Owner	09/01/2006	One la acros
	Bucklebury 4 - Bucklebury Alley - UCR- BOAT Buck/4 gap	Bucklebury	DMMO	DMMO investigation	none	n.a.	The B can b
	Bucklebury 54a public bridleway (& Cold Ash 25a public bridleway)	Bucklebury & 'Chieveley & Cold Ash'	PPO	Diversion to resolve longstanding obstructions on this bridleway.	none	n.a.	The p throug was u easter been
	Bucklebury 158 (Hawkridge Farm)	Bucklebury	PPO	Diversion (regularization)	none	n.a.	Legal Lando route,
	Bucklebury, Westrop Wood	Bucklebury	PPO	Concurrent extinguishment and creation orders affecting public footpaths, plus creation of a brand new public right of way	Two separate owners of Westrop Wood (north and south)	11/09/2017	
11	Burghfield 5, Public Footpath	Burghfield & Mortimer	PPO	Diversion/Enforcement	Mineral Extraction Company	n.a.	The p origina provic
	Burghfield 6 (AWE)	Burghfield & Mortimer	PPO	Extignsuishment	none	n.a.	The p bound AWE.
	Burghfield 23	Burghfield & Mortimer	PPO	Diversion (regularization)	none	n.a.	Origin south
	Frilsham 14/1 and Hermitage/12/3 Public Footpaths	Chievely & Cold Ash Ward	PPO	Diversion	WBC	n.a.	The p Consi
	Greenham, Capability Way to Greenham Common permitted development route	Newbury Greenham	PPO or agreement	Creation of public footpath	WBC	n.a.	The p
	Greenham, New Road to Greenham Hill - Gree/13 public footpath to Greenham 3 public bridleway	Newbury Greenham	PPO or agreement	Creation of public footpath	WBC	n.a.	
	Holybrook, Linear Park	Tilehurst South & Holybrook	DMMO	DMMO - suspected public footpath	none	n.a.	Recor
18	Inkpen 26,Public Footpath	Hungerford & Kintbury	PPO	Diversion (unless clear legal document can be found to record actual used route)	WBC	n.a.	The le
19	Kintbury 15a Footpath	Hungerford & Kintbury	PPO	Diversion (regularization)	Owner	24/11/2020	Resid occur not th
	Lambourn 36 public footpath, Blind Lane	Lambourn	PPO	Diversion/creation	WBC	n.a.	Public no for what i
	Newbury 13A - Monkey Bridge diversion and creation (concurrent creation/extingushment)	Newbury Central and Newbury Speen	PPO	DMMO or creation	none	n.a.	The re the pa built p
	Newbury 28 footpath extension to A4 by Tesco/Narrowboat Inn	Newbury Clay Hill	DMMO 0r PPO	DMMO or creation	none	n.a.	As pa and K that th
	Newbury 28 - footpath gap to canal footbridge by Tesco/Narrowboat Inn	Newbury Clay Hill	DMMO	DMMO or creation	none	n.a.	too. A new eastw

## es

has been blocked since the early 1960s due to development of the extension, which was blocked by a housing estate (now in npshire) - extension was extinguished by Hampshire in 2017 but the WBC remnant it there. Development proposed that may actually link al line to a continuation route.

sting route runs through horse paddocks and several awkward gates. Application is to divert onto an existing permitted bridleway away farms and paddocks. Proposal opposed by Open Spaces Society but welcomed by horseriders. Proposal improved 2020 but still osed by Open Spaces Society who want current route retaining as a public footpath.

toric route unclear and legal line currently blocked by a barn (due to be removed). May need a DMMO based on 1976 Draft Review initive Map, or a diversion.

downer has created a pond on the line of the footpath and the general alignment of the path is unclear on the ground. The landowner not approached WBC about making any diverison order.

public footpath has been flooded following mineral extraction work, and needs major work to reopen the legal line, or requires ponsible party to fund a diverison to rectify the situation.

landowner wishes to divert a public footpath onto an adjacent landowner's property in order to improve privacy as current line is directly oss large rear lawn and alongside house, which obstructs half the width of the path. Compensation claims likely. Low priority.

Byway Open to All Traffic and Unclassified ordinary road Bucklebury Alley have a 78 metre gap between them. Investigate whether this be rectified.

public bridleway at Broadview Farm was blocked by a farm building c.1989, and remains blocked today. The northern continuation ugh Little Salt Copse is also blocked by fencing, and was considered too marshy to use many years ago. A permitted alternative route used instead for many years until 2019, when the owners opened up a new permitted alternative much further from the farm, around the tern edge of their land. The owners have indicated they wish to divert the public bridleway onto this alternative route. No application has en received.

al line is blocked by garden boundary. A more direct, well used and signposted runs across same ownership plot and is more suitable. downer is aware but has not applied for a diversion. Local walkers have requested a diversion order be made to safeguard the preferred e, which is more direct and well used.

downers wish to alter the public rights of way network. This follows the closing of unofficial routes that caused local consternation. vever, the proposal will including creating 350 metres (approx.) of new public right of way, that has public benefit.

e path has been quarried and has been flooded by a lake for several years. formal temporary diversion ended many years ago but the inal path was never reopened. Require the landowner to resolve this matter by opening the legal line (which runs through a lake) or vide a suitable new route and fund a diversion.

path is not accessible and ends at the security perimeter of AWE Burghfield. Path no longer serves any use, ending at the AWE security ndary (AWE security issue)? Seek extinguishment, or seek to divert to useful route. Landownership issues seem likely if not owned by

inal footbridge crossing has not existed for many years/decades. WBC bridges team have installed a new footbridge 15 metres to the th-east. A diversion is needed to regularize the matter

path across the wood is impassable, but everyone walks the route east/west to the south of the wood. Impractical to open up physically. sider a diversion onto used route? Request by Rambler

path identified in the initial planning agreement does not link to any other highways and would require additional higways creating over ate land without planning agreement

## cord public footpath across Linear Park - only partly runs on WBC land

legal line does not reflect the longstanding path built when Robins Road (and extension) was built. Check to see if there is a legal ument that was wrongly recorded on the Def Map, or else make a diversion order onto longstanding route.

idential house Mount View has been extended partly across this public footpath, and the garden blocks the path. This appears to have urred around 25 years ago, when in a different ownership. An alternative path is available around the outside of the garden, but this is the legal line. Diversion application suggested.

lic Footpath Lambourn 36/2 runs along a fenced off drainage ditch and is inaccessible. Walkers in the area use a well trodden route with ormal status between Bockhampton Road and Newbury Road, NW of Beales Farm Road. Legal situation should be changed to meet is available on the ground if possible, or else the legal line reopened.

recorded public footpath is a cul-de-sac that stops at the old river authority boundary, and both the footbridges that have been moved in past couple of decades. A purpose-built surfaced route has also been constructed, and the legal line should be shifted onto the purpose path and two new footbridges.

part of plans to redevelop the area south of the Narrowboat Inn, a pedestrian/cycle link has been proposed between the A4 London Road Kennt & Avon canal towpath. This may require a formal process to record it as a public right of way. Note that there is a side issue in t the part of the towpath it would link onto behind Poundstretcher is not recorded as a public footpath - this would need to be addressed

ew footbridge was built over the Kennet & Avon canal around 21 years ago. To get to the access ramp, the public now have to walk twards for 33 metres along an additional stretch of towpath that is not recorded as a public footpath. This gap should be formally orded as public footpath. This is also related to above proposals to create a public link to the A4 to the north.

## D3. List of Path Order cases for consideration in the future that are not on the current or proposed case list

	Newbury Urban Paths	Newbury (ALL Wards)	DMMO	DMMO investigation	Newbury Town Council & Local Councillor	n.a.	The V partie since
n.a.							in 202
	Newbury, Roebuts Close to Andover Road claimed public footpath	Newbury Wash Common	DMMO	DMMO investigation	Ramblers	n.a.	Inforn as a p
25	Newbury Speen Moor Lane, Hill Lane, Croft Lane - Private Streets	Newbury Speen	DMMO	DMMO investigation	WBC	n.a.	Moor exting neede
26	Pangbourne 10	Pangbourne	PPO	Diversion	WBC	n.a.	Long: no div
27	Purley, Goosecroft Lane - unsurfaced track	Tilehurst & Purley	DMMO	DMMO investigation	none	n.a.	Reco
28	Shaw/4 and Shaw/4a public footpaths - some form of link between the two	Newbury Speen	PPO or agreement	Creation of public footpath	WBC	n.a.	Gap i follow
29	Speen 28, Public Footpath	Newbury Speen	PPO	Diversion	Owner	14/10/2020	lt has acros legal
30	Stanford Dingley 9, Public Footpath	Bucklebury	PPO	Diversion	WBC	n.a.	There Open the la
31	Stratfield Mortimer 19 & 21, Public Footpaths	Burghfield & Mortimer	PPO	Diversion. Possible associated extinguishment.	WBC	n.a.	Long route was l matte
32	Streatley Green Hill	Basildon	DMMO	DMMO - claimed public footpath	none	n.a.	Twen
33	Sulhamstead 6 footpath	Bradfield	PPO	Diversion	Owner	14/08/2020	
34	Tilehurst 14 diversion	Tilehurst Birch Copse	PPO	Diversion	WBC	n.a.	Diver
35	Tilehurst, Calcot Centre to Farm Drive	Tilehurst Birch Copse	DMMO	DMMO	Local Resident	14/08/2009	Coun get it
36	Welford 16, Public Footpath	Hungerford & Kintbury	PPO	Divert public footpath for benefit of landowner	Owner	11/02/2015	i Propo propo for ma
37	Welford 23 bridleway gap	Hungerford & Kintbury	DMMO	DMMO - Unrecorded highway	WBC	n.a.	Reco 2006
38	West Woodhay 6 public footpath	Hungerford & Kintbury	PPO	Diversion	n.a.	n.a.	The le fencir as a p resolv

WBC leaflet 'Walking in Newbury' shows many routes that are not recorded as public rights of way on the Definitive Map. The interested ties want these routes recording as public rights of way where applicable. A key factor here would be to ensure that any that have existed ce 1949 are recorded, or subject to application, to safeguard them from extinguishment when proposed legislation comes into existenced 026.

rmal query relating to 2026 extinguishments, asking if this pedestrian route, which is not on the Definitive Map, should be recorded on it a public footpath.

or Lane, Hill Lane and Croft Lane are all 'Private Streets' on the Local Street Gazetteer. There is a threat to them having Public Rights nguished in 2026 if not recorded on the DMS by then. The lanes are a vital local link and public rights must not be lost. Investigation eded.

gstanding unofficial/unintended diversion at Lower Bowden Manor. A diversion appears to be beneficial for walkers and owner alike but diversion application has been submitted.

cord track as some form of public right of way? The route appears on the Local Street Gazetteer but is not a surfaced route.

o in highway network left between Shaw/4 and Shaw/4a public footpaths due to failure to adopt The Connection as linking public highway owing completion of the Vodafone site.

as been noted that buildings that were granted planning permission were actually built a few metres off alignment, and have been built oss the historic (legal) line of the public footpath. A wide fenced path has been left for the public, but it is actually a few metres west of the al line, which is now inaccessible to the public. A formal diversion will rectify this situation.

ere has been a longstanding field-edge alternative route signed as a public footpath with three gates/stiles already in place. Ramblers and on Spaces Society were happy with the proposal. There are various gates on the 'proposed' route. No application has been submitted by landowner.

Igstanding changes to field boundaries have left the legal line blocked by a field boundary, and regularly cropped. There is a more direct te on the ground. See Stratfield Mortimer 21 public footpath proposal too, which is a connected path that is now a cul-de-sac. This path s left as a spur onto a permitted path through Mann's Farm that no longer exists. If Stratfield Mortimer 19 public footpath is diverted, the tter of this connected path may well arise.

enty eight User Evidence Forms have been sent in by people who have each used the route themselves, to show longstanding use of this te, but no formal application has been submitted. It crosses WBC land and leads to Open Access Land: Green Hill.

quest to divert the public footpath to the other side of applicant's garden. Legal line has been obstructed with an alternative nearby. The ner intends to open up a Koi Carp business and says that the business cannot operate unless the public footpath is diverted. Note that nning approval in 2016 (via Planning appeal) stated that no diversion was needed as part of the planning application development. ert public footpath from blocked gully route onto tarmac path already constructed along edge of Recreation Ground to the west

uncil approved an application to record this route as a public footpath but no order has been made as we were waiting for opportunity to it dedicated voluntarily as part of possible planning applications.

posal to divert path out of Elton Farm farmyard and onto a route to the east around the farm. Local Open Spaces Society has objected to posal on the basis that the existing route through the farmyard is more varied and interesting, but the proposal apppears to have merits many walkers and no guarantee that the farm will continue to exist as it does.

cord link between Welford 23 and Elton Lane as Restricted Byway, and report that the gap now has private vehicular rights as a result of 6 legislation changes.

e legal line has not been correctly signed for many years and the alignment is unclear on the ground, and blocked by garden shrubs, cing and building works. A different route has been signposted, and a landowner has actually put in a stile and signed an alternative route a public footpath. The status of this new route needs to be clarified, and the legal line open, or else diverted onto the alternative route olve the confusion and obstructions.